



**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
HELD VIA ZOOM CONFERENCE ON TUESDAY, 9 JUNE 2020**

5.1. 19/00307/FUL – BUFFINGHAM KENNELS, WATERWORKS LANE, PETERBOROUGH

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **REFUSE** the application as per Officers recommendation. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 For, 1 Against) to **REFUSE** the planning permission.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal is unacceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and for the specific reasons given below. No overriding public benefit has been identified such that the harm arising from the development would be outweighed.

5.2 19/01875/FUL - 30 HIGH STREET EYE, PETERBOROUGH, PE6 7UU

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application against Officers recommendation. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimous) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal was acceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and for the specific reasons given.

5.3 20/00235/HHFUL - 184 MAYORS WALK, WEST TOWN, PETERBOROUGH, PE3 6HQ

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to go against the Officers recommendation and **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimous) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers which must include obscure glazing insertion and retention for the side elevation high level windows to the rear extension. These must also be unopenable.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal was acceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and for the specific reasons given below.